

THE CARDINALITY OF THE CLASS OF SEPARATELY CONTINUOUS FUNCTIONS

ZRIGINEW PIOTROWSKI — IVAN L. REILLY — BRIAN M. SCOTT

ABSTRACT, Certain conditions on templated spaces X and Y are soon as

that the class of all separately continuous real-valued functions from $X \times Y$ has cardinality c_i it is in particular when $X \times Y$ is the plane \mathbb{R}^2 . On the other hand, it is shown that a shouly related class of symmetrically quasi-continuous functions on \mathbb{R}^2 has corollarity Y.

There are a few papers where an estimate is given for the cardinality of the class of continuous functions in terms of the weight or the density character of the domain space and/or the range of the function.

The following Proposition ([We] Lemma 3, p. 73) is an example of such result. PROPOSITION 1. Let X be a topological pure and let Y be a T_1 space. Then $[C(X,Y)] \le \sigma(X)^{\sigma(X)+(Y)}$, where $(X)_{\infty}(X)$ and $\pi(X)$ denote the occlusion; the weight, and that T weight of X, respectively, and [C(X,Y)] denotes the confinality of the class of constitutions fractions from X to Y.

Observe that for $c(X) = u(Y) = v(X) = \omega$ we have

 $\left|C(X,Y)\right| \leq \omega^{\nu,\nu} = \omega^{\nu} = 2^{\nu}.$ The following estimate [DDM, Corollary 8, 9 p. 66] is well-known.

PROPOSITION 2. If X is reparable and satisfies the first axiom of countability, then $\|C(X, \mathbb{R})\| = c$.

We now give a rough estimate of the cardinalty of separately continuous real-valued functions defined on the unit square.

A "naive proof" aboving that 2" is an upper bound could proceed as follows:

First, consider x-sections. There are comman points in [0,1]. Every point $x_0 \in [0,1]$ generates a fibre $\{x_0\} \times Y$. Now $\{x_0\} \times Y$ is homeomorphic to Y. Since there are commany continuous functions from Y = [0,1] into \mathbb{R} , we have commany

AMS Subject Classification (1991): 54C50, 26S65. Key words: separate continuity, quasi-continuity. We now give a rough estimate of the cardinalty of separately continuous real-valued functions defined on the unit square.

A "naive proof" showing that 2" is an upper bound could go as follows:

First, consider p-sections. There are e-many points in [0,1]. Every point $x_n \in [0,1]$ generates a fibre $\{x_0\} \times Y$. Now $\{x_0\} \times Y$ is homeomorphic to Y. Since there are c many continuous functions from $Y = \{0,1\}$ into \mathbb{R} we have c many continuous functions on $\{x_0\} \times Y$. So, altogether we have: $\frac{c \cdot c \cdot \dots c}{c} = c^*$. So there are at most 2' many continuous x-sections. Similarly, this "proof" shows also 2" many continuous y-sections. Clearly, what we see is that "not all" a sections are "suitable" for the corresponding psections. So c' is a rough estimate. We shall soon show that this bound can be lowered to c. see Sil p. 81. First we shall exhibit an explicit construction going back to R. Baire [Ba], see also W. Rudin [Ru]. We shall prove that all separately continuous functions $f: \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ age of the first class of Beire. Let f be such a function. For each natural number n, draw vertical lines in the plane, each at distance 2 from its left and right neighbors. Define $f_{\nu}(x, y)$ to be f(x, y) on the union of these lines and determine $f_{\nu}(x, y)$ on the rest of the plane by linear interpolation in the x variable. Since f is a continuous function of y, for each fixed x, each f_{α} is a continuous function on \mathbb{R}^{3} . Since f is a continuous function of x, for each fixed u, $\lim_{x\to\infty} f_x(x,y) = f(x,y)$, for all $(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$. So we have obtained a separately continuous f as the limit of a (countable) sequence of continuous functions. Hence it follows that there are c many separately continuous functions, since there are $c^{\omega}=c$ many sequences having terms from a set of cardinality c

REMARK 1. The argument just presented can be callly generalized to any cone where a separately continuous function is of the first class of Baire. However there are severe restrictions, e.g., metriaskility of both spaces X and Y, for this Baire – Lebesgue – Kuratowski

- Montgomery Theorem, see [En] for example.

Bowever the following result of Moran [Mo] is true. PROPOSITION 3. A separately continuous function $f: X \times Y \to \mathbb{R}$ from a product $X \times Y$ and only if it is Baire measurable

then

The reader is referred to [CK] and [Ve] for further generalizations.

PROPOSITION 4. If $d(X), d(Y) \le \kappa$ for some infinite cardinal κ , then there are at most 2° separately continuous functions $f: X \times Y \to \mathbb{R}$. (As usual, d(X) denotes the density of X.)

PROOF. Let D and E be dense subsets of X and Y, respectively, each of cardinality at most κ . Suppose that $f, e : X \times Y \to \mathbb{R}$ are separately continuous and agree on $D \times E$. Fix $x \in D$: f and a are continuous on $\{x\} \times Y$ and agree on its dense subset $\{x\} \times K$, so in fact they agree on $\{x\} \times Y$. Thus, f and g agree on $D \times Y$, and a second application of essentially the same argument shows that f = e.

Each separately continuous real-valued function on $X \times Y$ is therefore determined by its values on $D \times E$; and since there are at most $(2^n)^n = 2^n$ functions from $D \times E$ to \mathbb{R} . the result follows

In fact the argument shows that if $d(X), d(Y) \le \kappa$, and Z is any Hausdorff space.

$$\mid SC(X\times Y,Z)\mid \leq \mid Z\mid^{\kappa},$$

where $SC(X \times Y, Z)$ is the set of separately continuous $f: X \times Y \to Z$. \square COROLLARY 1. There are c many separately continuous functions $f : \mathbb{R}^1 \to \mathbb{R}$.

Nevertheless, there are spaces X and Y such that $|SC(X \times Y, \mathbb{R})| > |C(X \times Y, \mathbb{R})|$. PROPOSITION 5. Let X be any T_1 -space with $\kappa \ge \omega$ isolated points. Then $|SC(X \times X, \mathbb{R})|$ > 25

PROOF. Let A be the set of isolated points of X. For each $\varphi : A \to \mathbb{R}$ define $f_{\varphi} : X \times X \to$ R by

$$f_{\varphi}(x, y) = \begin{cases} \varphi(x), & \text{if } x = y \in A \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It is easy to see that f_{α} is separately continuous. Since there are $c^{\alpha}=2^{\alpha}$ such functions. the result is established. II

DEFINITION. Let (X, <) be a fixed linearly ordered set. Then a set A is called <u>cofmal</u> in \underline{X} if $A \subset X$ and $\forall s \in X$ $\exists a \in A : s \le a$. Further, the <u>cofmality</u> of \underline{X} , cl(X) is defined by: $cf(X) = \min(|A||A \subset X \text{ is cofmal in } X)$

EXAMPLES: $cf(RR) = \omega_c cf(\omega_c) = \omega_c$ since $\lim_{n \neq \infty} \omega_n = \lim_{n \neq \infty} \omega_n = \omega_c$, and $cf(\omega_1) = \omega_1$ PROPOSITION 6. For any cedinals α and $\beta_c \mid (G\alpha \times \beta, R) \mid S \max\{2^n, |\alpha|^n, |\beta|^n\}$. PROOF. We merely oulline the proof, which is straightforward but tellous. The result is trivial for constable α and β . Suppose that $\omega_1 \leq \alpha \leq \beta$ and that the result has been

entablished for all products in which at least one factor is less than α . If $\alpha = r + 1$, then by the induction hypothesis there are at most $|C(r \times \beta, \mathbb{R})| - |C(r \times \beta, \mathbb{R})| \le |C(r \times \beta, \mathbb{R})| \le |S|$ and |S| = |S| = |S|. Hence for $f \in C(r \times \beta, \mathbb{R})$ is similarly, if $r(g_0) = \omega$, then α is the discrete union of ω subspaces each homeocorphic to an outside less than ω , whence $|C(\alpha \times \beta, \mathbb{R})| \le |S| = |S| = |S| = |S|$. We may therefore assume that α is of

uncountable cofinality, and the proof is completed by induction on $\beta \geq \alpha$. The arguments for $cf(\beta) \leq \omega$ possible those for $cf(\alpha) \leq \omega$, so we assume further that $cf(\beta)$ is uncountable. For $f \in C(\alpha \times \beta, \mathbb{R})$,

CLAIM: There are $\overline{\alpha} < \alpha$ and $\overline{\beta} < \beta$ such that f is constant on $(\alpha \backslash \overline{\alpha}) \times (\beta \backslash \overline{\beta})$. Once the CLAIM is established, the rest is easy, since $\alpha \times \beta$ is the disjoint union of

 $\langle \alpha | \overline{\alpha} \rangle \times \langle \beta | \overline{\beta} \rangle$, $\alpha \times \overline{\beta}$, and $\overline{\alpha} \times \langle \beta | \overline{\beta} \rangle$. By hypothesis there are at most $|\beta|^{\mu}$ continuous, real-valued functions on each of the last two sets; there are only $|\alpha| + |\beta| + |\beta|$ mays to choose α and β and only $\overline{\alpha}^{\mu}$ choices for the value of f on $\langle \alpha | \overline{\alpha} \rangle \times \langle \beta | \overline{\beta} \rangle$, so altogether there are only at most $|\beta|^{\mu}$ choices for f.

The proof of the Cator feels aconly on the Pressing Down Lemma ([b]c]. These A(b), in case cf(a) = cf(b) is is similar to the proof of the well-known result that any continuous, real-wided function on an ordinal of amountable ordinality is eventually constant. B(a) = cf(b), we must work a little hardow. There is no have in a assuming that cf(a) = cf(b), the $(a) \in cf(b)$ is continuous, increasing assuments ordinal in a and β , respectively. For each $c \in A_0, I[f(c) \times \beta]$ is recturably constant, in the result of $a \in B(a) \in cf(b)$ is a similar to $a \in B(a) \in cf(b)$ and $a \in B(a) \in cf(b)$ is a contrasting to the result of $a \in B(a) \in cf(b)$ is a contrasting to the result of $a \in B(a) \in cf(b)$ is a first ordinal in $a \in B(a) \in cf(b)$ in $a \in B(a) \in cf(b)$ is a contrasting to the result of $a \in B(a) \in cf(b)$ is a contrasting to the result of $a \in B(a) \in cf(b)$ is a contrasting to the result of $a \in B(a)$ in $a \in B(a)$ in $a \in B(a)$ is $a \in B(a)$.

is $c_1(r)$ and if $c_1(r)$ by New factors we can be interested as $c_1(r)$ by 1 by $c_2(r)$ by 1 by $c_1(r)$ by 1 by 1

Let γ be an ordinal number and in $(0, \gamma)$ are the topology generated by all sets of form $\{x|x>\alpha\}$ and $\{x|x<\beta\}$. We call this topological space the ordinal space $\{0,\gamma\}$, or simply, the ordinal space γ . Observe that the sets $(0,\beta]=\{x|x>\alpha\}\cap\{x|x<\beta+1\}$ are a basis for the topology.

Example 1. Let X be the ordinal space a. By Proposition 5 $|SC(X \times X), Rt| \ge T$, and clearly quasify must hold. By Proposition 6, however, $|C(X \times X, R)| \le T = a$, and again it is done that equality holds. Thus, $|C(X \times X, Rt)| = c \ge T^* = |SC(X \times X, Rt)| \ge a$ one would expect, it is possible for a product to how strictly move (in the sense of auditability reconsistly confirm each value fluxes has no estimate cores. U

According to Baire [Ba] it was Volterra who observed that if $f : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} - \mathbb{R}$ is separately continuous time for each point $(a,b) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$, for each disc D with center (a,b) and for each a > 0, there is a disc D, extention in D such that |f(x,y) - f(a,b)| and for each a > 0. The property of separately continuous functions was subsequently called rance continuous by Kermiser (bit in 1922).

We say that a function $f: X \times Y \to Z$ is quasi-continuous with respect to x if for each point $(x,y) \in X \times Y$, for each point $(x,y) \in X \times Y$, for each point of open sets U open in X and V open in Y such that $(x,y) \in U \times V$, and for each open set W in Z such that $f(x,y) \in W$, there is an open set W in Z and Z and Z and Z are Z and Z and Z are Z and Z and Z are Z and Z and Z are Z are Z and Z

$f(U' \times V') \subset W$

Similarly, we define quasi-continuity with respect to y. We say that f is symmetrically quasi-continuous if f is quasi-continuous with respect to x and quasi-continuous with respect to y.

respect to y.

The following diagram is true, if X and Y are Baire, second countable spaces and $f: X \times Y \to \mathbb{R}$, see Nel or [P1].

In general, none of the above arrows can be reversed. As it can be seen, symmetric quasi-continuity is very close to separate continuity.

Now two questions arise.

- (1) Can a symmetrically quasi-continuous function be determined from its values on a dense subset of its domain?
 (2) What is the cardinality of the class of all exponencies to quasi-continuous functions.
- defined on "nice" spaces, e.g., let $X \times Y = \mathbb{R}^n$? We shall answer both of these questions. Here is a negative answer to Question (1).

Example 2. Define
$$f: \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$$
 and $g: \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ as follows:

$$f(x,y) = \begin{cases} \sin \frac{1}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}} & \text{if } x^2 + y^2 \neq 0 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

 $g(x,y) \equiv \begin{cases} \sin \frac{1}{\sqrt{x^2+y^2}} & \text{if } x^2+y^2 \neq 0 \\ 1, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$ We see that f and g agree on the entire plane, except for one point (0,0) and there

they are different. \Box There are only $c' = (2^n)^n$ functions of arr kind from \mathbb{R}^2 to \mathbb{R}^n we now show that

there are only $C = (X^*)^*$ functions of any kind from \mathbb{R}^* to \mathbb{R}^* , we now show the there are 2^* symmetrically quasi-continuous functions on \mathbb{R}^3 . PROTOSTION 7. There we 2^n symmetrically easis-continuous functions $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$. PROOF. Let us denote by X_0 the set $\{(x,y): x > 0 \text{ and } \| x = y < 2x\}$. Particle $A = \{(x,y): y = 2x \text{ and } x > 0\}$. Obviously, and A = c. Now, let us consider the power set 2^n of A, Le., the set of all subsets of A. Clearly, and $2^n = 2^n$. Let A, by the elements of 2^n of A. Clearly, and $2^n = 2^n$. Let A, by the elements of 2^n of A. Clearly, A or A is A.

$$\chi_{\ell}(x, y) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } (x, y) \in X_0 \cup A_\ell \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It is easy to see that there are 2^{c} functions χ_{t} and each one of them is symmetrically quasi-continuous. \Box

Corollary 2. There are 2^i many quasi-continuous functions $f: \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT: When this article was written the first-named author was 1993-94 YSU Research Professor.

REFERENCES
1.192. Sur les fouctions des variables réeles, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. 3 (1899),
1.192.

[CK] F. W. Carrel, F. S. Koekl, Separately continuous Banach - without functions on compact group, J. London Math. Soc. 4(1971), 109-102.
 [DDM] M. Datta, L. Debnath, T. K. Mitherpe, Elements of general topology, The World Press Private Ltd. (1994).
 [Ed] R. Engelsing, On Barel aute and B-measurable functions in metric spaces, Accaden.

Sec. Math. Polon. (Comm. Math.) 10 (1997), 145-149.

[Jul J. Juhise, Carimal Pancilions in Topology, Mathematical Centre Tracts 34, Mathematical Centre Australian (1997).

[Kei S. Kempisty, Sur Its fractions quasicontinues, Fund. Math. 19 (1932), 184-197.
 [bb] W. Moras, Separately centinuity and supports of measures, J. London Math. Soc. 44 (1969), 320-334.

[Ne] T. Neubrunn, Quari-continuity, Real Analysis Exchange, 14 (1989), 259-205.
 [P1] Z. Pietrowski, Separate continuity, Naturiols and Sterpmaki spaces, (submitted), Rpl W. Rodin, Lebespar's first theorem, Math. Analysis and Appl. Part B. Edited by L.

Nachbin, Adv. in Math. Supplem. Studies TB. Academic Press [1881], 741-747.

[Sil W. Sterpinski, Cardinal and ordinal numbers, PWN - Polish Scientific Publishers, Warnessen 1865.

[Ve] G. Vern, Baire measurability of separately continuous functions, Quart J. Math. Ox-

[We] W. A. R. Weiss, The Sharshery problem, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 230(1977), 71-85.

Youngstown State University, Youngstown, OH 44555, U.S.A. I. L. Reilly. I. L. Reilly,
Department of Mathematics and Statistics,
University of Auckland,
Auckland, Private Bag, NEW ZEALAND